

HEARING DATE AND TIME: OCTOBER 31, 2019 AT 11:00 A.M. (ET)
OBJECTION DEADLINE DATE AND TIME: OCTOBER 24, 2019 AT 4:00 P.M. (ET)

John E. Mitchell (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Yelena Archiyan (*Admitted in New York*)
AKERMAN LLP
2001 Ross Avenue, Ste. 3600
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel.: (214) 720-4300
Fax: (214) 981-9339

Andrea S. Hartley (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Katherine C. Fackler (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Joanne Gelfand (*Admitted in New York*)
AKERMAN LLP
98 Southeast Seventh Street, Ste. 1100
Miami, FL 33131
Tel.: (305) 374-5600
Fax: (305) 374-5095

Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
MIAMI METALS I, INC., <i>et al.</i> ¹)	Case No. 18-13359 (shl)
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON DEBTORS’ MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY AND AMONG DEBTORS, SENIOR LENDERS,
AND PRETIUM EXPLORATION INC., PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF
BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9019**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a hearing on *Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement by and Among Debtors, Senior Lenders, and Pretium Exploration Inc., Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019* (the “**Motion**”), will be held before the

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, include: Miami Metals I, Inc. (f/k/a Republic Metals Refining Corporation), 15 West 47th Street, Suites 206 and 209, New York, NY 10036 (3194); Miami Metals II, Inc. (f/k/a Republic Metals Corporation), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (4378); Miami Metals III LLC (f/k/a Republic Carbon Company), 5295 Northwest 163rd Street, Miami Gardens, FL 33014 (5833); Miami Metals IV LLC (f/k/a J & L Republic LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (7604); Miami Metals V LLC (f/k/a R & R Metals, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (7848); Miami Metals VI (f/k/a RMC Diamonds, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (1507); Miami Metals VII (f/k/a RMC2, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (4696); Miami Metals VIII (f/k/a Republic High Tech Metals, LLC), 13001 NW 38 Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (6102), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (1507); Republic Metals Trading (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., 276 Ningbo Road, Huangpu District, Shanghai, P.R. 200001 China (1639); and Republic Trans Mexico Metals, S.R.L., Francisco I. Madero No. 55 Piso 5, Local 409, Centro Joyero Edificio Central, Delegación Cuauhtémoc, Mexico DF 6000 (2942).

Honorable Sean H. Lane, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Room 701 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “**Bankruptcy Court**”), One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **October 31, 2019 at 11:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses or objections to the Motion (the “**Objections**”) must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s case filing system, electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at <http://nysb.uscourts.gov>) and (b) the Chambers of the Honorable Sean H. Lane (“**Chambers**”), United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004; (c) the Debtors, Miami Metals I, Inc. f/k/a Republic Metals Refining Corporation, (Attn: Scott Avila); (d) the attorneys for the Debtors, Akerman LLP, 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3600, Dallas, TX 75201 (Attn: John Mitchell, Esq.) and 98 Southeast Seventh Street, Suite 1100, Miami, FL 3313 (Attn: Andrea S. Hartley, Esq. and Katherine C. Fackler, Esq.); (e) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the “**U.S. Trustee**”), U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, New York 10014 (Attn: Shannon Scott, Esq.); (f) the attorneys for the Creditors’ Committee, if a committee is formed; (g) counsel to any other statutory committee appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases; (h) counsel to Pretium Exploration Inc., and (i) all entities that requested notice in these chapter 11 cases under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002 so as to be received no later than **October 24, 2019 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the “**Objection Deadline**”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no Objections are timely filed and served with respect to the Motion, the Debtors may, on or after the Objection Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Motion, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard.

Dated: September 23, 2019

AKERMAN LLP

By: /s/John Mitchell
John E. Mitchell
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
Yelena Archiyan
(Admitted in New York)
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3600
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel.: (214) 720-4300
Fax: (214) 981-9339
E-Mail: john.mitchell@akerman.com
E-Mail: yelena.archiyan@akerman.com

- and -

Andrea S. Hartley
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
Katherine C. Fackler
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
Joanne Gelfand
(Admitted in New York)
98 Southeast Seventh Street, Suite 1100
Miami, FL 3313
Tel.: (305) 374-5600
Fax: (305) 374-5095
E-Mail: andrea.hartley@akerman.com
E-Mail: katherine.fackler@akerman.com
E-Mail: joanne.gelfand@akerman.com

Counsel for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

**HEARING DATE AND TIME: OCTOBER 31, 2019 AT 11:00 A.M. (EASTERN TIME)
OBJECTION DEADLINE DATE AND TIME: OCTOBER 24, 2019 AT 4:00 P.M. (EASTERN TIME)**

John E. Mitchell (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Yelena Archiyan (*Admitted in New York*)
AKERMAN LLP
2001 Ross Avenue, Ste. 3600
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel.: (214) 720-4300
Fax: (214) 981-9339

Andrea S. Hartley (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Katherine C. Fackler (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Joanne Gelfand (*Admitted in New York*)
AKERMAN LLP
98 Southeast Seventh Street, Ste. 1100
Miami, FL 33131
Tel.: (305) 374-5600
Fax: (305) 374-5095

Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
MIAMI METALS I, INC., <i>et al.</i> ¹)	Case No. 18-13359 (shl)
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)

**DEBTORS’ MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY AND
AMONG DEBTORS, SENIOR LENDERS, AND PRETIUM EXPLORATION INC.
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9019**

Miami Metals I, Inc., *et al.*, as debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively the “Debtors”) in the above-captioned Chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”), by and through their undersigned counsel hereby file this Motion for Approval of the Settlement Agreement attached hereto an incorporated herein as **Exhibit A** (the “Settlement Agreement”), by and

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, include: Miami Metals I, Inc. (f/k/a Republic Metals Refining Corporation), 15 West 47th Street, Suites 206 and 209, New York, NY 10036 (3194); Miami Metals II, Inc. (f/k/a Republic Metals Corporation), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (4378); Miami Metals III LLC (f/k/a Republic Carbon Company), 5295 Northwest 163rd Street, Miami Gardens, FL 33014 (5833); Miami Metals IV LLC (f/k/a J & L Republic LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (7604); Miami Metals V LLC (f/k/a R & R Metals, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (7848); Miami Metals VI (f/k/a RMC Diamonds, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (1507); Miami Metals VII (f/k/a RMC2, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (4696); Miami Metals VIII (f/k/a Republic High Tech Metals, LLC), 13001 NW 38 Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (6102), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (1507); Republic Metals Trading (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., 276 Ningbo Road, Huangpu District, Shanghai, P.R. 200001 China (1639); and Republic Trans Mexico Metals, S.R.L., Francisco I. Madero No. 55 Piso 5, Local 409, Centro Joyero Edificio Central, Delegación Cuauhtémoc, Mexico DF 6000 (2942).

among the Debtors, the Senior Lenders (as defined below), and Pretium Exploration, Inc. (“Pretium”), pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019. In support of this Motion, the Debtors say:

JURISDICTION

1. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Court”) has jurisdiction over this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

2. Venue in the Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

3. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”).

BACKGROUND

4. On November 2, 2018 (the “First Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), initiating these Chapter 11 Cases. On November 21, 2018, certain additional debtors (collectively with the Debtors, the “Debtors”) filed voluntary petitions for relief under the Bankruptcy Code, initiating their Chapter 11 Cases.

5. The Debtors continue in possession of their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 1107(a) and 1109. On November 19, 2018, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the “U.S. Trustee”) gave notice of the appointment of an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”) [ECF No. 113].

6. Additional details regarding these Chapter 11 Cases are available in the Declaration of Scott Avila, as Chief Restructuring Officer (the “CRO”), in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Motions [ECF No. 2].

7. Coöperatieve Rabobank U.A., New York Branch, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co., Bank Hapoalim B.M., Mitsubishi International Corporation, ICBC Standard Bank PLC, Techemet Metal Trading LLC, Merced Partners Limited Partnership, Athilon Capital Corp. LLC, and Hain Capital Investors Master Fund, Ltd., together with their respective predecessors and successors-in-interest (collectively, the “Senior Lenders”), are each party to a credit agreement, master netting agreement or lease agreement with the Debtors entered into prior to the Petition Date (as such agreements may be amended, restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the “Credit and Lease Agreements” and together with any and all related collateral and security documents, guarantees and other loan documents, the “Secured Credit/Lease Documents”).

8. The Debtors and the Senior Lenders assert, and Pretium disputes, that the obligations under the Secured Credit/Lease Documents (the “Prepetition Obligations”) are secured by valid and perfected liens (the “Prepetition Liens”) on substantially all of the Debtors’ assets, including the Debtors’ purported inventory and cash.

9. On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed the Motion For Entry of Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing the Debtors to Use Cash Collateral, (II) Granting Adequate Protection to the Secured Parties, (III) Scheduling a Final Hearing and (IV) Granting Related Relief (the “Initial Cash Collateral Motion”) [Docket No. 10].

10. On November 13, 2018, the Debtors and the Senior Lenders filed the *Joint Supplement of the Debtors and the Senior Lenders to the Cash Collateral Motion* (together with the Initial Cash Collateral Motion, the “Cash Collateral Motion”) [Docket No. 78].

11. The Bankruptcy Court has entered eleven interim cash collateral orders (collectively, the “Interim Cash Collateral Orders”) [Docket Nos. 54, 277, 372, 538, 675, 864, 987, 1160, 1237, 1356 and 1392].

12. Pursuant to the Interim Cash Collateral Orders, among other things, the Senior Lenders consented to the Debtors’ use of their cash collateral to fund the costs and expenses of administering the Chapter 11 Cases, and the Senior Lenders were granted superpriority claims (“Superpriority Claims”) and adequate protection liens on substantially all of the Debtors’ assets (the “Adequate Protection Liens”) to protect against any diminution in value of the Prepetition Collateral.

13. Numerous of the Debtors’ customers (including Pretium) objected to the Cash Collateral Motion on the basis that the Debtors’ purported precious metal inventory and cash proceeds were property of such customers rather than property of the Debtors’ estates, giving rise to a dispute (the “Ownership Dispute”) concerning the ownership of such assets governed by uniform procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court (as amended, the “Uniform Procedures”) [Docket Nos. 395, 913 and 1196].

14. Pretium and RMC are parties to a certain letter agreement made effective as of June 1, 2018 (as amended, restated, supplemented, or otherwise modified, the “Pretium Contract”) relating to the refining and forward/spot sales of precious metal.

15. Pretium asserts that it retained ownership of the precious metals delivered to the Debtors pursuant to the Pretium Contract (the “Disputed Materials”) and that such metals and the proceeds thereof are not property of the Debtors’ estates.

16. The Debtors and the Senior Lenders assert that the Debtors own the Disputed Materials and that such materials and the proceeds thereof are property of the Debtors’ estates and are subject to the Prepetition Liens and Adequate Protection Liens of the Senior Lenders.

17. On November 15, 2018, Pretium delivered a letter making a reclamation demand to the Debtors [Docket No. 99] (the “Reclamation Demand”) and, on November 21, 2018, Pretium filed an objection to the Cash Collateral Motion [Docket No. 161] (the “Cash Collateral Objection”).

18. On January 18, 2019, Pretium filed its *Designation of and Supplement to Statement of Claimed Ownership Interest and Claims* [Docket No. 464] (the “Initial Customer Statement”) pursuant to the Uniform Procedures which asserted, among other things, Pretium’s ownership claims to the Disputed Materials and incorporated the Cash Collateral Objection by reference.

19. On March 11, 2019, Pretium filed an amendment to the Initial Customer Statement [Docket No. 755] (the “Supplemental Customer Statement” and together with the Initial Customer Statement, the “Customer Statement”).

20. On April 12, 2019 Pretium filed (i) proof of claim no. 390 against RMC asserting a \$5,124,328.91 general unsecured claim and (ii) proof of claim no. 393 against RMC asserting a \$3,983,943.75 administrative expense claim under Section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the “Proofs of Claim”).

21. On June 21, 2019, the Debtors and the Senior Lenders filed a joint motion for summary judgment [Docket No. 1202] seeking, among other things, to dismiss the Customer Statement as a “Bucket 3” customer ownership claim and overrule the Cash Collateral Objection (the “Summary Judgment Motion”).

22. Absent approval of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties intend to litigate the ownership of the Disputed Materials.

23. To avoid the expense and uncertainty of protracted litigation, the Parties desire to settle their disputes according to the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

RELIEF REQUESTED

24. Through this Motion, with the agreement of the Senior Lender, the Debtors request approval of the Settlement Agreement attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit A**² by and among Pretium, RMC, and the Senior Lenders as to (i) all of Pretium’s claims against RMC, and (ii) all of the Debtors’ and Senior Lenders’ claims against Pretium, including but not limited to the following material terms:

- (a) **Settlement Payment.** As consideration for the resolution of any and all existing disputes among the Parties, within two (2) business days of the Effective Date, the Debtors shall pay to Pretium \$666,162.00 in immediately available funds.
- (b) **Allowed Claim.** Upon the Effective Date:
 - (i) Pretium shall be granted an allowed general unsecured claim against RMC in the amount of \$4,458,167.00 (the “Allowed Claim”); and
 - (ii) the Debtors shall instruct their claims agent, Donlin Recano & Company, Inc., to enter the Allowed Claim in the claims register and to expunge all other Pretium claims from the claims register (including the Proofs of Claim and any other claims scheduled by the Debtors).
- (c) **Ownership Dispute.**

² Interested parties should refer to the Settlement Agreement for a complete recitation of its terms. Except as otherwise noted herein, capitalized terms from the Settlement Agreement are incorporated by reference herein.

(i) Upon the Effective Date, the Reclamation Demand and the Customer Statement shall be deemed immediately withdrawn with prejudice.

(ii) Immediately upon the execution of this Agreement, all deadlines under the Uniform Procedures (including any amendments thereto) solely with respect to Pretium (including, without limitation, Pretium's deadline to oppose the Summary Judgment Motion) are stayed pending the Bankruptcy Court's entry of the Settlement Order. For the avoidance of doubt, if the Agreement is terminated pursuant to Section 9 hereof, Pretium shall have the right to continue further discovery in the Ownership Dispute, including but not limited to the taking of depositions of any of the Debtors' or Senior Lenders' fact witnesses, 30(b)(6) witnesses, and/or expert witnesses (even if those witnesses were previously deposed by other customers), and the Parties shall file their respective opposition and reply papers in connection with the Summary Judgment Motion (with respect to Pretium) on a schedule to be determined by the Parties or the Bankruptcy Court.

(d) Consent to Plan. Upon the Effective Date, Pretium shall vote (if solicited) in favor of and not, directly or indirectly, object to any plan of liquidation supported by the Senior Lenders and the Debtors and shall execute the plan support agreement filed at Docket No. 1413.

(e) Consent to Cash Collateral Order. Upon the Effective Date, the Cash Collateral Objection shall be deemed immediately withdrawn with prejudice and Pretium shall not, directly or indirectly, object to any final cash collateral order supported by the Senior Lenders (including, without limitation, the order filed at Docket No. 1411).

(f) Mutual Releases.

(i) Upon the Effective Date, each Debtor, each Debtor's estate and each Senior Lender, each on behalf of itself, its respective predecessors, successors and assigns and each of their respective affiliates, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, members, agents, advisors or counsel (each in their capacity as such) (collectively, the "Debtor/Lender Entities"), will irrevocably waive, release and discharge all claims, obligations, suits, judgments, remedies, damages, demands, debts, rights, causes of action, and liabilities that the Debtor/Lender Entities have, may have or are entitled to assert, whether known or unknown, liquidated or unliquidated, fixed or contingent, foreseen or unforeseen, matured or unmatured, in law, equity, or otherwise, including, without limitation, all claims under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code (including, without limitation, all preference claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 547) and state fraudulent conveyances, fraudulent transfer and other similar state laws (each, a "Claim"), against (i) Pretium, its predecessors, successors, assigns and

each of their affiliates (including, without limitation, 0890696 B.C. Ltd.), parents (including Pretium Resources Inc.), subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, members, agents, advisors, and counsel (each in their capacity as such), and (ii) trade counterparties, contract counterparties, and offtakers (each solely in their capacity as such) of the foregoing, solely with respect to transfers of cash, precious metals, or metal credits received by such parties at the direction of Pretium, together with each of their affiliates, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, members, agents, advisors, and counsel (each in their capacity as such) (together with the entities and individuals set forth in (i) above, the "Pretium Entities"), based in whole or in part upon any act or omission, transaction, or occurrence arising out of, in connection with, or with respect to the Pretium Contract, the Ownership Dispute, the Secured Credit/Lease Documents, the Prepetition Obligations, the Prepetition Liens, the Cash Collateral Motion, the Disputed Materials, any other materials delivered by the Pretium Entities to Debtors, and/or any direct or indirect transfers of cash, precious metals, or metal credits, including, without limitation, any such transfers made pursuant to the Pretium Contract or the business relationship or course of dealings between the Pretium Entities and Debtors; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not release or discharge (i) any obligations arising under and pursuant to this Agreement, or (ii) any claims relating to any breach of the obligations arising under and pursuant to this Agreement. The Debtor/Lender Entities expressly covenant and agree forever to refrain from bringing any suit or proceeding at law or in equity against the Pretium Entities with respect to any claim released hereby.

(ii) Upon the Effective Date, Pretium, on behalf of itself and each Pretium Entity, will irrevocably waive, release and discharge all Claims against each Debtor/Lender Entity based in whole or in part upon any act or omission, transaction, or occurrence arising out of, in connection with, or with respect to the Pretium Contract, the Ownership Dispute, the Secured Credit/Lease Documents, the Prepetition Obligations, the Prepetition Liens, the Cash Collateral Motion, and the Disputed Materials; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not release or discharge (i) any obligations arising under and pursuant to this Agreement, (ii) the Allowed Claim, or (iii) any claims relating to any breach of the obligations arising under and pursuant to this Agreement. The Pretium Entities expressly covenant and agree forever to refrain from bringing any suit or proceeding at law or in equity against the Debtor/Lender Entities with respect to any claim released hereby.

(g) Effective Date. The Settlement Agreement is conditioned upon occurrence of the "Effective Date," which means the first date by which each of the following conditions has occurred:

(i) The Settlement Agreement is executed by each of the Parties; and

- (ii) The Court enters an order approving the Settlement Agreement in a form and substance acceptable to the Parties, provided that such order has not been stayed.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

25. Bankruptcy Rule 9019 provides that, upon the motion of the debtor-in-possession, “after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement.” Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a). Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the Court to enter any order “that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of” the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. § 105(a).

26. A court must determine that a settlement under Bankruptcy Rule 9019 is fair, equitable, and in the best interests of the estate before it may approve a settlement. *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Grp., Inc.*, 134 B.R. 493, 496 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (citing *Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson*, 390 U.S. 414, 424, 88 S.Ct. 1157, 20 L.Ed.2d 1 (1968)); *see also In re Chemtura Corp.*, 439 B.R. 561, 593–94 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010); *In re Lehman Bros. Holdings*, 435 B.R. 122, 134 (S.D.N.Y. 2010).

27. A court’s responsibility is to “canvass the issues and see whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness.” *Chemtura*, 439 B.R. at 594 (quoting *In re W.T. Grant, Co.*, 699 F.2d 599, 608 (2d Cir.1983)) (internal quotations omitted). But the court is not required to go so far as to conduct a trial on the terms to approve a settlement. *See id.* Before making a determination, however, the court must inform itself of “all facts necessary for an intelligent and objective opinion of the probabilities of ultimate success should the claim be litigated.” *O’Connell v. Packles (In re Hilsen)*, 404 B.R. 58, 70 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2009) (internal quotations omitted) (quoting *TMT Trailer Ferry*, 390 U.S. at 424, 88 S.Ct. 1157). Although courts have discretion to approve settlements, the business judgment of the debtor in recommending the settlement should be factored into the court’s analysis. *See JP*

Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Charter Commc'ns Operating LLC (In re Charter Commc'ns), 419 B.R. 221, 252 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009). “At the same time, a court may not simply defer to a debtor in possession’s judgment, but must independently evaluate the reasonableness of the settlement.” *In re Rosenberg*, 419 B.R. 532, 536 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2009) (citations omitted). In addition, courts may give weight to the opinion of bankruptcy counsel supporting the settlement. *See id.* (“In [approving the settlement agreement], the court is permitted to rely upon ‘opinions of the trustee, the parties, and their attorneys.’”); *Chemtura*, 439 B.R. at 594.

28. To that end, courts have developed standards to evaluate if a settlement is fair and equitable and identified factors for approval of settlements based on the original framework announced in *TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc.*, 390 U.S. 414, 88 S.Ct. 1157, 20 L.Ed.2d 1 (1968). The Second Circuit outlined the test for consideration of settlements under the Bankruptcy Rules in *Iridium Operating LLC*, 478 F.3d at 462. The factors to be considered are interrelated and require the court to evaluate:

- (1) the balance between the litigation’s possibility of success and the settlement’s future benefits;
- (2) the likelihood of complex and protracted litigation, “with its attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay,” including the difficulty in collecting on the judgment;
- (3) “the paramount interests of the creditors,” including each affected class’s relative benefits “and the degree to which creditors either do not object to or affirmatively support the proposed settlement;”
- (4) whether other parties in interest support the settlement;
- (5) the “competency and experience of counsel” supporting, and “[t]he experience and knowledge of the bankruptcy court judge” reviewing, the settlement;
- (6) “the nature and breadth of releases to be obtained by officers and directors;” and
- (7) “the extent to which the settlement is the product of arm’s length bargaining.”

Id.

29. The factors militate in favor of approving the Settlement Agreement with Pretium.

30. Without this settlement, Pretium, the Debtors, and the Senior Lenders will incur costs in litigating Pretium's Reclamation Demand, Cash Collateral Objection, and Customer Statement, as well as issues related to Pretium's Proofs of Claim. The interests of the creditors are served by the reduction of administrative expenses with respect to litigation, as well as that Pretium's 503(b)(9) claim is withdrawn. Moreover, the results of any litigation, particularly one as complex as the Ownership Dispute with Pretium, are uncertain. While the Debtors and Senior Lenders believe that they would prevail in the Ownership Dispute, there are substantial risks associated with litigating the Ownership Dispute with Pretium to its conclusion (including potentially through any appeals). Among other things, Pretium has asserted that various terms in the Pretium Contract and its course of dealings with the Debtors are indicative of a bailment and its prepetition ownership of the Disputed Materials. Such arguments could sway the Court in ruling in Pretium's favor, or could be successful on appeal. If Pretium were to prevail on the merits, its ownership claim would greatly exceed the Settlement Payment.

31. With respect to any claims held by the Debtors and/or Senior Lenders against Pretium (all of which are being released as part and parcel of the Settlement Agreement), such claims are similarly laden with risk. Pretium has asserted significant defenses to any claims arising under section 547 of the Bankruptcy Code, or otherwise, including but not limited to facts evidencing (in Pretium's view) the provision of subsequent new value to the Debtors, as well as facts supporting an argument that any prepetition transfers to Pretium were in the "ordinary course of business" as contemplated by section 547 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors would bear significant financial risk and incur significant costs in pursuing these chapter 5 claims.

32. The Debtors, the Senior Lenders, and Pretium are each represented by sophisticated counsel, all of whom performed detailed analyses on the claims released as part of

the Settlement Agreement and were deeply involved in the negotiation of the settlement terms underlying the Settlement Agreement.

33. The Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's length bargaining and the product of voluntary and independent negotiations by and between the Senior Lenders, and Pretium. No special relationships among the parties exist. Upon information and belief, neither the parties, nor their counsel, are related or affiliates of the other.

34. The Settlement Agreement is in the best interest of the Debtors' estates, creditors, and interested parties because the Settlement Agreement better enables the Debtors to propose a confirmable plan. Furthermore, the uncertainty and risk of litigation are avoided, together with the attendant administrative expenses. Professionals are then freed up for other tasks in these Chapter 11 cases.

35. The Debtors submit that the Settlement Agreement represents a sound and good faith exercise of the business judgment of the Debtors in that the Settlement Agreement greatly advances progress toward confirmation of a plan.

36. Finally, the Senior Lenders and Pretium, as the economic interest holders to the Uniform Procedures litigation, support approval of the Settlement Agreement.

37. Accordingly, the Debtors respectfully request the Court grant this Motion and approve the Settlement Agreement.

NO PREVIOUS REQUEST

38. No prior motion for the relief requested herein has been made by the Debtors to this or any other court.

NOTICE

39. The Debtors have provided notice of this Motion to: (i) the U.S. Trustee for the Southern District of New York; (ii) counsel to the Debtors' Senior Lenders; (iii) the parties listed

in the consolidated list of thirty (30) largest unsecured creditors filed by the Debtors in these Chapter 11 Cases; (iv) counsel to the Committee; (v) parties settling disputes pursuant to this Motion; and (vi) any other party entitled to notice pursuant to Local Rule 9013-1(b) (collectively, the “Notice Parties”). The Debtors submit that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, the Debtors respectfully request the Court enter an Order in substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit B** (i) approving the Settlement Agreement, and (ii) granting such other and further relief as requested herein or as the Court otherwise deems necessary and appropriate.

Dated: September 23, 2019

AKERMAN LLP

By: /s/John Mitchell

John E. Mitchell
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Yelena Archiyan
(Admitted in New York)
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3600
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel.: (214) 720-4300
Fax: (214) 981-9339
E-Mail: john.mitchell@akerman.com
E-Mail: yelena.archiyan@akerman.com

- and -

Andrea S. Hartley
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Katherine C. Fackler
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Joanne Gelfand
(Admitted in New York)
98 Southeast Seventh Street, Suite 1100
Miami, FL 3313
Tel.: (305) 374-5600
Fax: (305) 374-5095
E-Mail: andrea.hartley@akerman.com
E-Mail: katherine.fackler@akerman.com
E-Mail: joanne.gelfand@akerman.com

Counsel for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT A

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) is made and entered into as of September 23, 2019, by and among the following “Parties”: (a) Miami Metals I, Inc. (f/k/a Republic Metals Refining Corporation), on behalf of itself and its affiliated debtors and debtors in possession in the Chapter 11 Cases described below (collectively, the “Debtors”); (b) Pretium Exploration Inc. (“Pretium”); and (c) Coöperatieve Rabobank U.A., New York Branch, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co., Bank Hapoalim B.M., Mitsubishi International Corporation, ICBC Standard Bank Plc, Techemet Metal Trading LLC, Merced Partners Limited Partnership, Athilon Capital Corp. LLC and Hain Capital Investors Master Fund, Ltd., together with their respective predecessors and successors in interest (collectively, the “Senior Lenders”).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2018 (the “Petition Date”), certain Debtors commenced chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”) under title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) that are presently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Bankruptcy Court”).

WHEREAS, each Senior Lender is party to a credit agreement, master netting agreement or lease agreement with the Debtors entered into prior to the Petition Date (as such agreements may be amended, restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the “Credit and Lease Agreements” and together with any and all related collateral and security documents, guarantees and other loan documents, the “Secured Credit/Lease Documents”).

WHEREAS, the Debtors and the Senior Lenders assert, and Pretium disputes, that the obligations under the Secured Credit/Lease Documents (the “Prepetition Obligations”) are secured by valid and perfected liens (the “Prepetition Liens”) on substantially all of the Debtors’ assets, including the Debtors’ purported inventory and cash.

WHEREAS, on the Petition Date, the Debtors filed the *Motion For Entry of Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing the Debtors to Use Cash Collateral, (II) Granting Adequate Protection to the Secured Parties, (III) Scheduling a Final Hearing and (IV) Granting Related Relief* (the “Initial Cash Collateral Motion”) [Docket No. 10].

WHEREAS, on November 13, 2018, the Debtors and the Senior Lenders filed the *Joint Supplement of the Debtors and the Senior Lenders to the Cash Collateral Motion* (together with the Initial Cash Collateral Motion, the “Cash Collateral Motion”) [Docket No. 78].

WHEREAS, the Bankruptcy Court has entered eleven interim cash collateral orders [Docket Nos. 54, 277, 372, 538, 675, 864, 987, 1160, 1237, 1356 and 1392] (collectively, the “Interim Cash Collateral Orders”).

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Interim Cash Collateral Orders, among other things, the Senior Lenders consented to the Debtors’ use of their cash collateral to fund the costs and expenses of administering the Chapter 11 Cases, and the Senior Lenders were granted superpriority claims (“Superpriority Claims”) and adequate protection liens on substantially all of the Debtors’ assets (the “Adequate Protection Liens”) to protect against any diminution in value of the Prepetition Collateral.

WHEREAS, numerous of the Debtors' customers (including Pretium) objected to the Cash Collateral Motion on the basis that the Debtors' purported precious metal inventory and cash proceeds were property of such customers rather than property of the Debtors' estates, giving rise to a dispute (the "Ownership Dispute") concerning the ownership of such assets governed by uniform procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court (as amended, the "Uniform Procedures") [Docket Nos. 395, 913 and 1196].

WHEREAS, Pretium and RMC are parties to a certain letter agreement made effective as of June 1, 2018 (as amended, restated, supplemented, or otherwise modified, the "Pretium Contract") relating to the refining and forward/spot sales of precious metal.

WHEREAS, Pretium asserts that it retained ownership of the precious metals delivered to the Debtors pursuant to the Pretium Contract (the "Disputed Materials") and that such metals and the proceeds thereof are not property of the Debtors' estates.

WHEREAS, the Debtors and the Senior Lenders assert that the Debtors own the Disputed Materials and that such materials and the proceeds thereof are property of the Debtors' estates and are subject to the Prepetition Liens and Adequate Protection Liens of the Senior Lenders.

WHEREAS, on November 15, 2018, Pretium delivered a letter making a reclamation demand to the Debtors [Docket No. 99] (the "Reclamation Demand") and, on November 21, 2018, Pretium filed an objection to the Cash Collateral Motion [Docket No. 161] (the "Cash Collateral Objection").

WHEREAS, on January 18, 2019, Pretium filed its *Designation of and Supplement to Statement of Claimed Ownership Interest and Claims* [Docket No. 464] (the "Initial Customer Statement") pursuant to the Uniform Procedures which asserted, among other things, Pretium's ownership claims to the Disputed Materials and incorporated the Cash Collateral Objection by reference.

WHEREAS, on March 11, 2019, Pretium filed an amendment to the Initial Customer Statement [Docket No. 755] (the "Supplemental Customer Statement") and together with the Initial Customer Statement, the "Customer Statement").

WHEREAS, on April 12, 2019 Pretium filed (i) proof of claim no. 390 against RMC asserting a \$5,124,328.91 general unsecured claim and (ii) proof of claim no. 393 against RMC asserting a \$3,983,943.75 administrative expense claim under Section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the "Proofs of Claim").

WHEREAS, on June 21, 2019, the Debtors and the Senior Lenders filed a joint motion for summary judgment [Docket No. 1202] seeking, among other things, to dismiss the Customer Statement as a "Bucket 3" customer ownership claim and overrule the Cash Collateral Objection (the "Summary Judgment Motion").

WHEREAS, absent approval of this Agreement, the Parties intend to litigate the ownership of the Disputed Materials.

WHEREAS, to avoid the expense and uncertainty of protracted litigation, the Parties desire to settle their disputes according to the terms of this Agreement.

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, after good faith, arms' length, extensive negotiations without collusion, and for good and valuable consideration the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree to the following terms:

1. Effective Date. This Agreement is conditioned upon occurrence of the "Effective Date," which means the first date by which each of the following conditions has occurred:

(a) this Agreement is executed by each of the Parties; and

(b) the Bankruptcy Court enters an order approving this Agreement in a form and substance acceptable to the Parties (the "Settlement Order"), provided that such order has not been stayed and the Agreement has not otherwise been terminated pursuant to Section 9.

The conditions set forth in this Section 1 may be waived, in whole or in part, by mutual agreement of the Parties. As soon as practicable following execution of this Agreement, the Debtors shall file a motion with the Bankruptcy Court, in a form reasonably satisfactory to the Parties, requesting approval of this Agreement pursuant to Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "9019 Motion"). The Parties shall use commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that the 9019 Motion is scheduled to be heard by the Bankruptcy Court no later than the currently scheduled omnibus hearing on October 31, 2019.

2. Settlement Payment. As consideration for the resolution of any and all existing disputes among the Parties, within two (2) business days of the Effective Date, the Debtors shall pay to Pretium \$666,162.00 in immediately available funds.

3. Allowed Claim. Upon the Effective Date:

(a) Pretium shall be granted an allowed general unsecured claim against RMC in the amount of \$4,458,167.00 (the "Allowed Claim"); and

(b) the Debtors shall instruct their claims agent, Donlin Recano & Company, Inc., to enter the Allowed Claim in the claims register and to expunge all other Pretium claims from the claims register (including the Proofs of Claim and any other claims scheduled by the Debtors).

4. Ownership Dispute.

(a) Upon the Effective Date, the Reclamation Demand and the Customer Statement shall be deemed immediately withdrawn with prejudice.

(b) Immediately upon the execution of this Agreement, all deadlines under the Uniform Procedures (including any amendments thereto) solely with respect to Pretium (including, without limitation, Pretium's deadline to oppose the Summary Judgment Motion) are stayed pending the Bankruptcy Court's entry of the Settlement Order. For the avoidance of doubt, if the Agreement is terminated pursuant to Section 9 hereof, Pretium shall have the right to continue further discovery in the Ownership Dispute, including but not limited to the taking of

depositions of any of the Debtors' or Senior Lenders' fact witnesses, 30(b)(6) witnesses, and/or expert witnesses (even if those witnesses were previously deposed by other customers), and the Parties shall file their respective opposition and reply papers in connection with the Summary Judgment Motion (with respect to Pretium) on a schedule to be determined by the Parties or the Bankruptcy Court.

5. Consent to Plan. Upon the Effective Date, Pretium shall vote (if solicited) in favor of and not, directly or indirectly, object to any plan of liquidation supported by the Senior Lenders and the Debtors and shall execute the plan support agreement filed at Docket No. 1413.

6. Consent to Cash Collateral Order. Upon the Effective Date, the Cash Collateral Objection shall be deemed immediately withdrawn with prejudice and Pretium shall not, directly or indirectly, object to any final cash collateral order supported by the Senior Lenders (including, without limitation, the order filed at Docket No. 1411).

7. Party Representations. Each Party hereby represents and warrants that: (a) such Party and the signatory hereto has the power and authority to execute, deliver and perform this Agreement; (b) such Party has taken all necessary actions to authorize the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement; (c) this Agreement has been duly executed and delivered by such Party and constitutes the legal, valid, and binding obligations of such Party, enforceable against it in accordance with their respective terms; (d) such Party's execution, delivery, and performance of this Agreement does not and will not conflict with, or constitute a violation or breach of, or constitute a default under any obligation of such Party and will not violate any applicable law, or any order or decree of any court or government instrumentality applicable to such Party; and (e) such Party has entered into this Agreement in reliance on its own independent investigation and analysis of the facts underlying the subject matter of this Agreement, and no representations, warranties, or promises of any kind have been made directly or indirectly to induce it to execute this Agreement other than those that are expressly set forth in this Agreement.

8. Mutual Releases.

(a) Upon the Effective Date, each Debtor, each Debtor's estate and each Senior Lender, each on behalf of itself, its respective predecessors, successors and assigns and each of their respective affiliates, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, members, agents, advisors or counsel (each in their capacity as such) (collectively, the "Debtor/Lender Entities"), will irrevocably waive, release and discharge all claims, obligations, suits, judgments, remedies, damages, demands, debts, rights, causes of action, and liabilities that the Debtor/Lender Entities have, may have or are entitled to assert, whether known or unknown, liquidated or unliquidated, fixed or contingent, foreseen or unforeseen, matured or unmatured, in law, equity, or otherwise, including, without limitation, all claims under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code (including, without limitation, all preference claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 547) and state fraudulent conveyances, fraudulent transfer and other similar state laws (each, a "Claim"), against (i) Pretium, its predecessors, successors, assigns and each of their affiliates (including, without limitation, 0890696 B.C. Ltd.), parents (including Pretium Resources Inc.), subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, members, agents, advisors, and counsel (each in their capacity as such), and (ii) trade counterparties, contract counterparties, and oftakers (each solely in their capacity as such) of the foregoing, solely with respect to transfers of cash, precious metals, or

metal credits received by such parties at the direction of Pretium, together with each of their affiliates, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, members, agents, advisors, and counsel (each in their capacity as such) (together with the entities and individuals set forth in (i) above, the “Pretium Entities”), based in whole or in part upon any act or omission, transaction, or occurrence arising out of, in connection with, or with respect to the Pretium Contract, the Ownership Dispute, the Secured Credit/Lease Documents, the Prepetition Obligations, the Prepetition Liens, the Cash Collateral Motion, the Disputed Materials, any other materials delivered by the Pretium Entities to Debtors, and/or any direct or indirect transfers of cash, precious metals, or metal credits, including, without limitation, any such transfers made pursuant to the Pretium Contract or the business relationship or course of dealings between the Pretium Entities and Debtors; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not release or discharge (i) any obligations arising under and pursuant to this Agreement, or (ii) any claims relating to any breach of the obligations arising under and pursuant to this Agreement. The Debtor/Lender Entities expressly covenant and agree forever to refrain from bringing any suit or proceeding at law or in equity against the Pretium Entities with respect to any claim released hereby.

(b) Upon the Effective Date, Pretium, on behalf of itself and each Pretium Entity, will irrevocably waive, release and discharge all Claims against each Debtor/Lender Entity based in whole or in part upon any act or omission, transaction, or occurrence arising out of, in connection with, or with respect to the Pretium Contract, the Ownership Dispute, the Secured Credit/Lease Documents, the Prepetition Obligations, the Prepetition Liens, the Cash Collateral Motion, and the Disputed Materials; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not release or discharge (i) any obligations arising under and pursuant to this Agreement, (ii) the Allowed Claim, or (iii) any claims relating to any breach of the obligations arising under and pursuant to this Agreement. The Pretium Entities expressly covenant and agree forever to refrain from bringing any suit or proceeding at law or in equity against the Debtor/Lender Entities with respect to any claim released hereby.

9. Termination. This Agreement shall be terminated and void *ab initio*, and none of the Parties shall have any continuing obligations to the other Parties under the terms of this Agreement (except as provided in Section 4(b)): (a) upon the written agreement of each of the Parties hereto, or (b) on December 18, 2019, in the event that (i) the Settlement Order has not then been entered by the Bankruptcy Court, or (ii) the Settlement Order has been entered by the Bankruptcy Court, but the effectiveness of the Settlement Order has been stayed. In the event that the Settlement Order has been entered by the Bankruptcy Court, a timely appeal of the Settlement Order has been filed, but a stay of the Settlement Order has not been entered, any Party may terminate this Agreement upon written notice to the other Parties.

10. Continuing Bankruptcy Court Jurisdiction. Each Party agrees that the Bankruptcy Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over any disputes regarding the validity, interpretation, or performance of this Agreement so long as the Bankruptcy Cases are pending, and each of the Parties consents to personal jurisdiction and venue in the Bankruptcy Court in connection with any such disputes; provided, however, that if the Bankruptcy Cases are no longer pending, or if the Bankruptcy Court cannot or does not exercise jurisdiction, such jurisdiction and venue shall belong to the federal courts in the Southern District of New York.

11. Voluntary Agreement. Each Party acknowledges that it has read all of the terms of this Agreement, has had an opportunity to consult with counsel of its own choosing, or has voluntarily waived such right and enters into this Agreement voluntarily and without duress.

12. Further Assurances. Each Party agrees, without further consideration, to execute and deliver such other documents and to take such other action as may be necessary to consummate the purposes of this Agreement.

13. No Admission. This Agreement is for settlement purposes only and shall not be construed or deemed an admission by any Party to this Agreement of wrongdoing, liability, fault, or the validity of any claims. This Agreement shall not be introduced by any Party, and shall not be admissible for any purpose, in any action other than an action to enforce its terms.

14. Valid Provisions Remain Effective. If any provision in this Agreement shall be invalid, inoperative or unenforceable, the remaining provisions of this Agreement shall remain in effect if both the economic and legal substance of the terms contemplated hereby are not materially affected in any manner adverse to any Party. Otherwise, the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to rewrite any such provision so as to, as nearly and fairly as possible, approach the economic and legal substance originally intended.

15. Construction. The language used in this Agreement will be deemed to be the language chosen by the Parties to express their mutual intent, and no rule of strict construction will be applied against any Party, nor will any rule of construction that favors a non-draftsman be applied. A reference to any statute will be deemed also to refer to all rules and regulations promulgated under the statute, unless the context requires otherwise. Unless specifically otherwise provided or the context otherwise requires, the singular includes the plural and the plural the singular; the word “or” is deemed to include “and/or”, the words “including”, “includes” and “include” are deemed to be followed by the words “without limitation”, and references to sections are to those of this Agreement. Headings in this Agreement are included for convenience of reference only and do not constitute a part of this Agreement for any other purpose.

16. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts which, taken together, shall constitute one original. Delivery of an executed counterpart of a signature page to this Agreement by .pdf format shall be effective as delivery of a manually executed counterpart thereof. The Parties authorize their counsel to sign this Agreement on their behalf.

17. Applicable Law. The validity, interpretation, and performance of this Agreement shall be construed and interpreted according to the internal laws of the State of New York, without giving effect to its choice of law principles, except to the extent that (a) provisions of the Bankruptcy Code apply, in which event the Bankruptcy Code shall control, or (b) applicable federal law preempts state law.

18. Entire Agreement; Amendments. This document contains the entire Agreement among the Parties as to the matters addressed herein, and may only be modified in writing signed by the Parties or their duly appointed agents. All prior agreements and understandings among the Parties concerning the subject matter hereof are superseded by the terms of this Agreement.

19. Successors and Assigns. This Agreement shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective agents, employees, affiliates, successors, and assigns (including any chapter 11 or chapter 7 trustee hereafter appointed or elected for the estates of any of the Debtors, an examiner appointed pursuant to section 1104 of the Bankruptcy Code, or any other fiduciary appointed as a legal representative of any Debtor or with respect to the property of the estate of any Debtor). In addition, this Agreement, including the releases provided in Section 8 above, shall be binding on any and all representatives of the Debtors' bankruptcy estates, including any chapter 11 or chapter 7 trustee or any party that has or is granted standing to pursue claims or causes of action on behalf of the Debtors' estates (including, without limitation, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors).

20. Continuing Validity. Provided the Effective Date occurs, all provisions of this Agreement that can be given effect without confirmation of a Chapter 11 plan for the Debtors, including, without limitation, payment of the Settlement Payment, the granting of the Allowed Claims and the mutual releases, shall be and remain effective. If any provision of a Chapter 11 plan conflicts with this Agreement, this Agreement shall govern.

21. Third Party Beneficiaries. Other than the Debtor/Lender Entities and the Pretium Entities not otherwise party hereto, there are no third party beneficiaries of this Agreement.

22. Notices. All notices, consents, waivers, and other communications under this Agreement must be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given when: (a) delivered by hand (with written confirmation of receipt) or (b) received by the addressee, if sent by email, in each case to the appropriate addresses and representative (if applicable) set forth in the signature page(s) attached hereto (or to such other addresses and representative as a Party may designate by notice to the other Parties in accordance with this paragraph).

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank; Signature Pages Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement as of the date written in the opening paragraph hereof.

**FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER &
ADELMAN LLP**

AKERMAN LLP

By: /s/Jason C. Rubinstein
Jason C. Rubinstein
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036
Email: jrubinstein@fklaw.com

By: /s/John E. Mitchell
John E. Mitchell
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3600
Dallas, Texas 75201
Email: john.mitchell@akerman.com

Attorneys for Pretium Exploration Inc.

*Attorneys for the Debtors and Debtors in
Possession*

LUSKIN STERN & EISLER LLP

By: /s/Michael Luskin
Michael Luskin
Eleven Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Email: luskin@lsellp.com

Attorneys for the Senior Lenders

EXHIBIT B

PROPOSED ORDER

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
MIAMI METALS I, INC., <i>et al.</i> ¹)	Case No. 18-13359 (shl)
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
)	

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS’ MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY AND AMONG DEBTORS,
SENIOR LENDERS, AND PRETIUM EXPLORATION INC.
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9019**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”)² [ECF No. ___] of Miami Metals I, Inc., *et al.*, as debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively the “Debtors”) in the above-captioned Chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”), for entry of an Order approving the Settlement Agreement by and among Debtor Miami Metals II, the Senior Lenders, and Pretium Exploration Inc. (“Pretium”), pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019 (the “Bankruptcy Rules”); and it appearing that the Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334; and this proceeding being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and it appearing that notice of the Motion as set forth therein is sufficient under the circumstances, and that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having reviewed the Motion; no objections to

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, include: Miami Metals I, Inc. (f/k/a Republic Metals Refining Corporation), 15 West 47th Street, Suites 206 and 209, New York, NY 10036 (3194); Miami Metals II, Inc. (f/k/a Republic Metals Corporation), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (4378); Miami Metals III LLC (f/k/a Republic Carbon Company), 5295 Northwest 163rd Street, Miami Gardens, FL 33014 (5833); Miami Metals IV LLC (f/k/a J & L Republic LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (7604); Miami Metals V LLC (f/k/a R & R Metals, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (7848); Miami Metals VI (f/k/a RMC Diamonds, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (1507); Miami Metals VII (f/k/a RMC2, LLC), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (4696); Miami Metals VIII (f/k/a Republic High Tech Metals, LLC), 13001 NW 38 Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (6102), 12900 NW 38th Avenue, Miami, FL 33054 (1507); Republic Metals Trading (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., 276 Ningbo Road, Huangpu District, Shanghai, P.R. 200001 China (1639); and Republic Trans Mexico Metals, S.R.L., Francisco I. Madero No. 55 Piso 5, Local 409, Centro Joyero Edificio Central, Delegación Cuauhtémoc, Mexico DF 6000 (2942).

² All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the definitions set forth in the Motion.

the Motion having been filed; and it further appearing that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors' estates, creditors, and other parties-in-interest; and the Court having determined that the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement do not fall below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness; and upon all of the proceedings had before the Court on October 31, 2019; and after due deliberation and cause appearing;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is **GRANTED** as set forth herein.
2. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019 and 11 U.S.C. § 105(a), the Settlement Agreement is approved and is fully enforceable in all respects.
3. The Settlement Agreement is incorporated into this Order.
4. Debtor Miami Metals II, the Senior Lenders, and Pretium shall comply with all terms of the approved Settlement Agreement.
5. Notwithstanding the possible applicability of Bankruptcy Rules 6004(a) and 6004(h) or otherwise, the terms and conditions of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rules 6004(a) and 6004(h) are hereby waived.
6. Debtor Miami Metals II, the Senior Lenders, and Pretium are hereby authorized and empowered to take such steps and perform such acts as may be necessary to implement and effectuate the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the terms of this Order.
7. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation and/or interpretation of this Order.

8. This Order shall be effective immediately upon entry by the Court.

Dated: New York, New York
November __, 2019

PROPOSED

HONORABLE SEAN H. LANE
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE